Dixit

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.865 OF 2007
FROM
BOMBAY CITY CIVIL SUIT NO.95 OF 2007

Ramajor Vishwanath Yadav ...Appellant
V/s.
Kamaladevi Ramnath Yadav ...Respondent

Mr.D.D. Singh, Advocate, for the Appellant.

Mr.R.I. Singh, Advocate, for the Respondent.

CORAM ; ABHAY S. OKA, J.
DATE ; 1ST NOVEMBER, 2007.

P.C.:

1. The learned Advocates appearing for the appellant and respondent have tendered consent terms. The Advocates appearing for the parties state that the consent terms have been signed by the appellant. It is stated that the respondent has affixed her thumb impression on the consent terms. The Advocate for the respondent states that the respondent has been explained the contents of the consent terms. The Advocates appearing for the parties state that both the parties have no objection if the Appeal and the Suit are disposed of in terms of the consent terms.

2. The consent terms are marked "X" for

identification and the same are taken on record. Accordingly, the Appeal is disposed of in terms of the consent terms.

3. The parties will appear before the Trial Court and file a true copy of the consent terms and the Trial Court will pass consent decree in terms of the consent terms.

4. Parties and concerned Court to act upon an authenticated copy of this order.

[ABHAY S. OKA, J.]